

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,568	10/03/2003	Yann-Per Lee	MDS-6063	2295
7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER		
BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC.			RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN	
DF2-2E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Baxter Par	-		7.5.01 01411	- THE ENTITION DEN
Deerfield, IL 60015-4633			3763	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,568	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cris L. Rodriguez	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communica	ition appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	·s
Period for Reply		ACCUTACION OF THEFT (CO.) F	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC 1, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 03 October 2003.		
, —)⊠ This action is non-final.		,
3) Since this application is in condition for	, 	tters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice			
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	;	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority do		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of			ge
application from the Internationa	ıl Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action t	for a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/21/04</u> .	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/678,568

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the word "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim does not end with a period. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lal et al (US 5,520,661) in view of Weilbacher et al (US 5,360,418), and further in view of Sharp et al (US 6,394,979) and Enerson (US 6,988,510).

Lal discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the at least the top, bottom, or the diaphragm holder having parylene, the medium static turning torque with the set forth ranges, and the parylene having a thickness of about .10 microns to about 3.0 microns.

Weilbacher teaches that it is known to use a lubricious coating material on a connector (fig 3) to ease connection between a tubing and connector elements (fig. 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lal by providing a coating on a connector to enhance connection between parts. However, Lal/Weilbacher fails to disclose the lubricious coating material to be parylene, and the medium static turning torque with the set forth ranges, and the parylene having a thickness of about .10 microns to about 3.0 microns.

Sharp teaches the use of parylene on a cannula to ease insertion through a membrane with its lubricity, and Enerson teaches the use of parylene in a seal disc to prevent "stickiness" and recite that parylene coating is recognized to be used with medical components and devices (col. 8). Therefore, given the teachings, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lal/Weilbacher's lubricious coating material by using Sharp and Enerson's parylene, since Sharp and Enerson suggest that parylene enhances lubricity on any component or device that it is applied. Furthermore, the instant disclosure describes

Art Unit: 3763

the medium static turning torque and the parylene thickness as merely preferable. These parameters are deemed matters of design choice, well within the skill of the ordinary artisan, obtained through routine experimentation in determining optimum results.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is 571-272-4964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 7, 2006

Cris L. Rodriguez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763